Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/646,705	ICHIKAWA ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Mathieu D. Vargot	1732
All Participants:	Status of Application	: Allowed
(1) Mathieu D. Vargo (PTO).	(3)	
(2) Mr. Schulte (Atty).	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 August 2006	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	plicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed: Claim 7		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE Aspects of filling up the holes and making the IOL from the and clarity.		
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum</li> </ul>	. The examiner will provide a ate record of the substance o	written summary of the substance f the interview, since the interview
M. Varget		
(Examiner/SPE(Signature) (Appli	cant/Applicant's Representati	ve Signature – if appropriate)